

ROBERTSON COUNTY  
PROJECT DEVELOPMENT  
MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
MARCH 13, 2006

AOC CONSTRUCTION FILE  
COUNTY: ROBERTSON  
FILE CODE: PDB1  
SUBMITTED BY: Jim Piper  
DATE: 4-10-06

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Bradley Gifford.

All members of the Board were present.

Jim Piper from Lyle and Associates and supporting staff were also present.

Additionally, property owners involved in negotiations with Robertson County for purchase of their property necessary for the completion of the Judicial Center project were also present.

The first order of business was to approve the minutes from the meeting of February 13, 2006. a motion to approve was made, seconded and passed by all members of the Board.

OLD BUSINESS  
LAND APPRAISALS

Mr. Knipper was present and expressed his concern to the Board that he was unable to hire an appraiser because it would cost him too much money and he felt he was already at a disadvantage because of the money he had spent on the property and on costs of \$4000.00 in improvements to the property.

Judge McGinnis again attempted to explain to the property owners the reasons for needing a second opinion by an independent appraiser. The other property owners that were present also discussed the proposed purchase price that they had been offered by the Board.

COURT FACILITIES STANDARD COMMITTEE MEETING

The Court Facilities Standard Committee meeting is scheduled to be held in Frankfort on March 23, 2006 at 10:00 AM.

A booklet containing the Board's approval of Design Scheme D and an accompanying budget will be reviewed by the committee.

LYLE AND ASSOCIATES

Jim Piper addressed the Board with regard to the three (3) design schemes proposed for the new judicial center. The design schemes in question were noted as "B, D & E".

The cost information presented to the Board and previously reviewed with Garland Van Hook demonstrated that the multi-storied scheme of plan "B" was significantly over

budget and it was therefore determined that the best way to achieve a judicial center that was defined by the constraints of the budget was to focus on a one story design scheme, thereby eliminating scheme "B".

The Board then reviewed the design schemes and probable cost comparisons for plans D and E as presented through a detailed evaluation of each remaining design by Jim Piper.

After receiving the plans for the Board's review, it was determined that plan "D" was the most cost effective for the project at hand.

There was a motion to approve schematic Design "D" which was seconded and a vote was taken and all members voted in the affirmative.

During the course of his presentation, Mr. Piper reviewed the plans of schematic "D" in detail with the Board and explained how the new building would have to 'fit' on the existing site and discussed how and where the best location would be in conformity with the existing "old" courthouse as far as elevations were concerned.

Mr. Piper also proffered a computer generated drawing of plan D which presented a design of the new judicial building which was in conformity with the architecture of the "old" courthouse.

At the conclusion of Mr. Piper's presentation and after all other business was concluded Judge McGinnis made a motion to go into executive session, seconded and passed.

Motion to come out of executive session, seconded and passed.

After discussion in executive session and after reviewing options and appraisals and upon approval of fiscal court, Judge McGinnis made a motion to submit offers to property owners of:

WAS → NOW

Knipper	\$22,000.00	- 18K to 22K
Cooper	\$25,000.00	
Moore	\$16,000.00	INCREASED TO 18,000

Motion seconded and passed by all.

Next meeting is set for April 10, 2006.

Motion to adjourn, seconded and passed by all.